

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,183	10/15/2003	Yiduo Zhang	17310-293156	3793
25764	7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP			CAO, ALLEN T	
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2652	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Andrew Occasions	10/686,183	ZHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allen T. Cao	2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 C	October 2003.					
· _ ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	_					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-10,12-14 and 16-18</u> is/are is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rejected.					
Application Papers	<i>,</i>					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b;	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/686,183

Art Unit: 2652

1. The IDS filed on 7/5/05 has not been attached with this Office Action. It will be considered and mailed to the Applicant later in the next Office Action.

2. Claims 1-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The term "an" in front of the term "arm" in claim 2, line 1; claim 6, line 1; claim 10, line 1; claim 14, line 1; and in claim 18, line 1 should be changed to –the—or –said—because "an arm" has been disclosed previously in claims 1, 5, 9 and 13, respectively.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-10, 12-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (US. 2002/0145830 A1).

Wang et al discloses a baseplate for swaging a disk drive head suspension 14 to an arm 18 having a thickness and a neutral axis, the base plate including a boss tower (12, 13 and 15) having an outer diameter high point configured to be located within about some % of the arm thickness from the neutral axis when swaged to an arm (see figure 2), as set forth in claims 1, 4-5, 8-9, 12-13 and 16-17.

Wang et al does not discloses the percent as set forth being exactly 2% or 4% or 6% as recited in claims 1, 4-5, 8-9, 12-13 and 16-17.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,183

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to indicate the percentage as set forth of Wang et al to be exactly 2% or 4% or 6% as recited in claims 1, 4-5, 8-9, 12-13 and 16-17.

The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to indicate the percentage as set forth of Wang et al to be exactly 2% or 4% or 6% as recited in claims 1, 4-5, 8-9, 12-13 and 16-17 through obvious engineer lab routine optimization and experimentation at the time the invention was made to improve the swaging characteristics between the suspension, arm and the base plate in order to improve the balance characteristics of the suspension with respect to the arm, thus improve the read/write characteristics of the head.

Regarding claims 2, 6, 10, 14 and 18, Wang et al discloses that the base plate is swaged to the arm 18 (figure 2).

- 5. Claims 3, 7, 11 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record neither discloses nor suggests a base plate for swaging a disk drive head suspension to an arm having the limitations as set forth in claims 1 or 5 or 9 or 13 and additional limitations that the base plate is the sole base plate swaged to the arm, as recited in claims 3, 7, 11 and 15, respectively.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen T. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7569. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs (7:30 - 6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Cao

Munli

**Primary Examiner** 

AC July 11, 2005